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Course description and objectives

“It should be known that history is a discipline that has a great number of approaches. Its useful aspects are very many. Its goal is distinguished.”

--Ibn Khaldun 1377

This course has two main goals: One is to introduce you to aspects of our world history roughly in the era 1000 CE to 1800 CE. Everything cannot be “covered.” Instead, you will getting only twenty drops from a great ocean of fascinating history, and we will look at a small selection of topics in the history of the world at this time and introduce you to the many possibilities of doing history.

The other goal is to introduce you to basic historian’s methods of reading and interpreting historical documents. It all comes down to answering the question, “Who is writing to whom, when, where and why?” and then pondering over your answers. The issue of perspective in writing history and in your understanding is central to knowledge. History is certainly about the facts of the past, but facts can never be presented without a point of view. History texts today and in the past inevitably justify certain points of view and kinds of understanding related to the values of that historian. So you should ask of the historian’s writings the same questions: Who is writing to whom, when, where and why? We will look at and learn how to analyze many histories written by people from around the world to explore why they wrote the histories that they did.
What did they describe? How did they describe it? What did they leave out? Why did they make these choices? We will also look at and ask the same questions of documents such as the descriptive writings of travelers, because many of the same issues are relevant. You will emerge from this class with a better understanding of the historian’s craft, with a better understanding of yourself, and with ideas for how to express yourself historically.

I have two specific goals for this class in addition to the above: 1. to present the history and voices of men and women equally and to consider gender roles historically. 2. To consider and combat Eurocentrism in the way we view history. Each week’s lectures will cover two regions and most weeks will have an interpretive theme. I have divided the world into East Asia, South Asia, Southwest Asia and North Africa, Europe, SubSaharan Africa, the Americas, and finally the Pacific. Overall my goal is to present you with a relatively balanced view of the world. But what am I actually doing? In the end I hope you can articulate and analyze my biases, and figure out your own as you learn from and write history. When you can do that, I think you have reached history’s distinguished goal.

Requirements and Grading
Do all readings by the time of your section meeting or the first lecture of the week, whichever comes first. Read the questions I provide each week before doing the assigned readings. Then I recommend reading the assigned textbook pages first and next the short readings so you will understand the short readings better. Also use the index of your textbook to help you answer questions. Bring your i-clicker (or app) to class lecture and use it. From the third week i-clicker participation will be counted as part of your grade, but you have two free absences so don’t contact about illness exemptions until your third. When you contact about grading, contact your TA first and me only when the issue is not resolved.

Discussion sections are an essential, required part of the educational plan of this course, which will emphasize analysis of the readings and will have its own assignments. You will have to write one 8-page historical paper. You will also have a map, weekly Canvas quizzes, a midterm and a cumulative final examination. There will be some memorization and map questions for the exams, but the exams will emphasize your facility with the interpretive skills we will be learning over the quarter. I will post on Canvas a thorough study guide one week prior to each exam.

Grading: Section attendance and participation (25%*), online quizzes on lectures each week by Sunday night and i-clicker use from week 3 (15%), map (due week 3 in section: 5%), mid-term exam (Th. Feb. 8: 15%), one 1800 word (8 page) essay plus footnotes and bibliography (Th. Feb 29: 20%*) we will make available the assignment sheet in week 3, final exam (Tues. Mar. 19: 20%). Your TA is in charge of your grading so if you have late issues contact your TA first. Exams will be in-class bluebook and will be based on a comprehensive study guide distributed one week before the exam.

*3 unexcused absences from section means zero credit for section grade. This course satisfies the university’s writing requirement and you must write the paper of passing quality in order to pass the class. Late deductions affecting grade do not count toward this quality assessment.

Late policy for map and essay: Your map is due on paper in section in week 3. Your paper is due on Canvas by class time (Feb. 29, 2:00 PM). Assignments turned in after class time are already counted 1 day late. The grade is reduced by 3.33% (in a progression of 3,7,10, 13 etc. points off) per day up to 7 days late. After that, the deduction is 50% off.

I strongly recommend that you take notes in class by hand. If it is very important for you to use a computer to take notes, then please sit in the back few rows of class to minimize the distraction for others. Surfing, email and games are not permitted. General smartphone use during classtime is prohibited. If you wish to use your phone for anything other than the i-clicker app, do not attend class. Also do not talk casually during lecture as it distracts other students.

This is your chance to train yourself. There will be zero tolerance of all academic dishonesty such as plagiarism, use of AI for papers and other cheating on assignments. We will report all cases of dishonesty to the dean.

Etiquette and health:
The most important rule is to be respectful and kind to each other. Do your best to be responsibly prepared, join in our collective activities, and help others get more out of this class. Also be thoughtful with regard to illness. If you feel ill with the symptoms of flu or covid then do not attend class nor office hours in order to protect other people’s lives. If you have a cold, wear a mask. I and the TA’s will be happy to meet by appointment via Zoom. These are emotionally very difficult times with ideas for how to express yourselves historically.

Required texts and Items
an i-clicker app
Robert Tignor et. al., Worlds Together Worlds Apart, Vol. B, 600-1850, paperback/ebook combo available at UCSB Bookstore, and an e-book version at http://books.wwnorton.com/books/webad.aspx?id=4294995503 which is accessible via the Canvas page. A paper copy will be available on reserve at the main library. We will be using
Weekly Readings and Lecture Topics

Part I Two Worlds: Afro-Eurasia and the Americas

Week 1 Introduction
T Jan 9 Introduction to Course and What is History?
Th Jan 11 China and Indian Ocean Trade

WEEKLY LECTURE QUIZ ON Canvas to complete by 11:59PM Sunday EVERY WEEK


This week’s text book reading introduces the topic of the high level of commerce and travel across the Afro-Eurasian continents in the early part of the millennium. The authors call this process “Becoming the World” but I am not sure I agree. Briefly look at the whole chapter and ask how does this framing affect the history that they tell in chapter 10? Pay particular attention to the textbook pages on the Song Dynasty to give you a background for the Chinese primary documents for this week. The Chinese documents are the writings of various historians from The Tang (618-907) and Song (960-1279) Dynasties, with modern introductory commentary in the smaller font. What do they think is the purpose of history writing? How should it be done? What is the relation of history writing to good government, and how is this related to who their imagined audience is? Which of their ideas do you think makes good history? Why? I also am having you read an essay of mine that I researched and wrote in 2005. What are the ways that your historical knowledge is shaped by your education, and what are the reasons behind this shape? Thinking about these reasons, do you think things have changed some by 2024?

Week 2 Travelers and Historians from some Centers in Eurasia
T Jan 16 The Mongol Empire and Eurasian Land Trade
Th Jan 18 Scholarship in Southwest Asia and North Africa


The textbook provides a general overview of the connections across Afro-Eurasia. The primary document readings are from travelers and an historian. The two early long distance travelers are the Venetian Christian Marco Polo (1254-1324), and the Moroccan Muslim Ibn Battuta (1304-1368). I have excerpted from them two views of China during the Mongol (Yuan) Dynasty. What can you learn of the authors from their texts? How do their views of the same places compare? What accounts for the similarities and differences? What evidence is there for extensive pan-Afro-Eurasian interaction? This week our writing of an historian is from the Tunisian scholar Ibn Khaldun (1332-1406). What does he think is the purpose of history writing? He thinks that he is developing a new kind of history writing. How is it like modern academic history writing? What does he say are the causes of inaccuracy in history writing? What does he think of Ibn Battuta?

Week 3 Aristocratic Women from the Opposite Ends of Eurasia
T Jan 23 Western Europe MAP ASSIGNMENT DUE IN SECTION THIS WEEK
Th Jan 25 Heian Japan

For this week’s readings I have picked examples of writings and histories written by aristocratic women from medieval Europe and Japan, and also two brief articles by modern historians to help you situate them. How do their writings reflect an aristocratic perspective? A woman’s perspective? What do they include and leave out in their writings? The two key readings are by Akazome Emon and Christine de Pizan. Akazome Emon takes as her inspiration “womanly” writing from tales such as those produced by Murasaki Shikibu, and Christine de Pizan utilizes a “masculine” scholastic tradition of argumentation to present her histories. How are the purposes of each style different?

**Week 4 Poetry and Oral Epics as History**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T Jan 30</td>
<td>India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th Feb 1</td>
<td>West African Empires</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


This week we will explore locations in India and Africa while we think about “difficult sources” such as poetry and oral history. First is a chapter about a woman Hindu poet/saint of central India. She was of low status and lived as a household servant. Her poetry is not very historical but how does the author use the poetic sources for historical information and what judgments does she make? Sundiata was a king and a dynasty founder of the empire of Mali in West Africa. I have included a section of his history as written by a modern “griot,” a term that identifies the oral historian in West Africa. How has this history been conveyed over the ages? What do you see of the roles and functions of the griot? How does the role of the griot compare to that described by the Chinese historians in week 1 of this class? The third reading is a selection from the travelogue of Ibn Battuta when he visited the kingdom founded by Sundiata about a century after Sundiata lived. What does he think of griots? Why? What can you learn of Mali and of Ibn Battuta from his record?

**Week 5 In the Americas**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Locations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T Feb 6</td>
<td>Mexicas and Incas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th Feb 8</td>
<td>MIDTERM EXAM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


This week we will explore two empires of the Americas in the time before the European invasions. Most written sources from before the invasion were destroyed so this makes it difficult for us. There is a brief indigenous history of the Aztecs. What are its concerns? What part of this is relevant to your concerns? The Aztecs (Mexicas) were famous as a warrior society, the article by Inga Clendinnen explores what it was like to grow up male in this society. What are the meanings they attach to justify violence? For the Incas of Peru we have an indigenously written history by a man who was mestizo—both Inca and Spanish. He is writing to please both heritages. Which elements are for which do you think? The Murra article approaches understanding the Inca through an examination of one key economic item: cloth. What are the strengths of this kind of economic history? How does what you learn from these readings compare with what you learn from the textbook?

**Part II Most of the Worlds’ Regions in Sustained Interaction**

**Week 6 Conquest, Violence and Economy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T Feb 13</td>
<td>Invasion of the Americas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th Feb 15</td>
<td>The Big Picture: World Trade in the 16th-18th centuries</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


This week we will discuss the European invasion of the Americas, littoral Africa, the Indian Ocean and changing patterns of
world trade. The Köning reading is chosen to get you thinking about the issue of perspective and interest in the histories that are written in our era. He first wrote it in 1976 and few people responded, but it became a widely read book when it was re-published in 1991. Why? What does he discuss? What does he leave out? What are the values by which he judges people’s actions? What about Köning’s context makes him write this way? What do you think of Diaz’s account of the Aztecs/Mexicas? The last reading this week is by a pair of modern historians. What do they say about the relationship between violence and economy? What do you think? Does what we think of as economic activity involve violence? Is it still true today?

**Week 7  Religions and States**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T Feb 20</td>
<td>Europe: Catholic Protestant split</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th Feb 22</td>
<td>Japanese and Iberians</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


This week we will consider religious encounters and revolutions. We begin with the era of the Protestant reformation with a focus on religious violence in France. This was an instance of one religion splitting into two (or more) religions, an event which provoked very violent events in most parts of Europe. Why? The modern historian Natalie Davis attempts to look at the French reformation violence as a ritual related to belief. What do you think? The Japan readings are chosen to give you a sense of some of the difficulties of cultural contact between European and East Asian religions in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, using the example of Japan around 1600. They present both sides narrating learning and conflicts of belief posed by the encounter.

**Week 8  Economic and Military Interactions across the Indian Ocean**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T Feb 27</td>
<td>China and Southeast Asia in the World Economy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th Feb 29</td>
<td>East Africa  PAPER DUE TODAY ON CANVAS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


The textbook will continue to narrate the commercial connections of global commerce and China and South and SE Asia. The textbook has very little to say on East Africa in our period. What is your image of East Africa from the textbook? I am including an article on one Zimbabwean kingdom known as the Rosvi Empire. The author presents a revisionist history addressing the two questions: What are the economic bases of this empire? What is the role of the military in this empire? What are his answers? What is he arguing against?

**Week 9  Southwest Asian Empires**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T Mar 5</td>
<td>Ottoman empire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th Mar 7</td>
<td>The Mughals</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Readings: World’s review pp. 440-448, read anew pp. 564-574; CLASS WEBSITE: Selections from Gul Badan’s Humayun Nama pp. 178-195; Jouher (Charles Stewart trans.), Memoirs of Humayun, pp. 82-100

This week we will explore the two most powerful Islamic empires of the era. For documents we will look at male and female histories of the same events in the creation of the Mughul Empire between 1545 and 1548. Both histories are written from a personal perspective on the 2nd emperor Humayun. One is a selection by the female historian Gul Badan (one of Humayun’s sisters) and the other written by one of Humayun’s male servants: Jouhur. How do these two histories compare? What is each particularly good for, and how does the gender of the author enter into the particular strengths of each?
Week 10 Histories of the Unwritten

T Mar 12 Pacific
Th Mar 14 Review of themes


This week will introduce an example of the many people whose history we barely know because of a paucity of written records. The textbook has next to nothing to say about the peoples of the Pacific. From Diamond’s readings what kind of evidence is available? What arguments is he making and how does this influence his choice of evidence and topics? Then consider some Hawaiian tales told by Samuel Kamakau in 1855 which relate histories of seafaring between Hawai’i and foreign places (called Kahiki).

FINAL EXAM: TUESDAY MARCH 19 4:00-7:00 PM