Neil Gregor’s examination of how various groups and categories of people in the Nazi flagship city of Nuremberg related to that city’s Nazi past ranks among the best of these case studies with its social history approach and dense base of archival material.

Gregor examines the effects of past experiences within what he calls a “loosely tripartite model of local society” (p. 21). Gregor’s first social sector is the official sphere of city government; the second is the civic realm comprising interest-based associations, institutions such as the Protestant Church, Nuremberg’s Germanic National Museum, and political parties; the third sector, which is treated with less depth, is the mostly private realm of the daily lives of ordinary people in the community. Although most of the civic groups, with the exception of the church, did not allow him access to their archives, Gregor was able to reconstruct many of their activities, including those of long-defunct groups, from their interactions with city government. He has augmented the city’s archival materials with press clippings and organizational periodicals, while published and unpublished memoirs give him some insight into the private realm of individual memory. Oral history does not play a role in this study, an understandable distortion wrought by intervening decades of reinterpretation. Still, when Gregor uses a chance remark to probe these questions adequately undermines the power of his thesis.

While the study of what is commonly called memory—how individuals, groups, institutions, and even whole nations relate to past events—has enjoyed heightened popularity for more than two decades, increasing use of the term has not been accompanied by theoretical convergence or greater conceptual clarity (see Jay Winter, Remembering War: The Great War between Memory and History in the Twentieth Century [2006], chapter one). Instead we are seeing an abundance of case studies that add rich empirical detail to a growing body of work.

Part two looks at four indicators of memory politics in the 1950s: associational life, monuments and memorials, commemorative events, and exhibitions at Nuremberg’s famed Germanic National Museum. Gregor delineates a slow shift in the mid-1950s from soldier-focused memorialization to commemorations of civilian losses through the air raids on the city. This shift was fueled by the closure of the POW issue in 1955 and the surging reconstruction effort (p. 176). Part three extends this analysis to the 1960s, using as indicators the public reception of trials of Nazi perpetrators, exhibitions focused squarely on the Holocaust, and an annual conference series inaugurated in 1965 to “strengthen democratic consciousness” through intellectual exchange (p. 284). The latter chapters of part four muster additional evidence for fundamental change in the 1960s based on the evolving focus of municipal commemorations on West Germany’s Day of National Mourning and the city’s uses of Nuremberg’s iconic remnant of the Nazi past, the hypertrophic architecture of the Nazi party rally grounds. These local changes during the late 1950s and 1960s confirm the results of the national studies summarized at the beginning of part three, namely West Germany’s “liberalization” with regard to examining its Nazi past.

Gregor’s two-pronged approach of examining the memory politics of social groups defined by their historical experience on the one hand, and by the texts generated by retrospective events on the other, offers a model of how we can examine concretely the workings of rather nebulous group memories at the local level.