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Preface

The Dachauer Hefte, established in 1985 and published with the moral authority of the Comite International de Dachau, carries the subtitle "History" of Nazi Concentration Camps: Studies, Reports, Documents. Devoted to all aspects of National Socialist persecution, the journal is not limited to events directly connected to the concentration camp at Dachau.

Articles by contemporary witnesses who experienced the horror of Dachau, Auschwitz and Buchenwald, of Treblinka and Theresienstadt and other camps are followed in this second volume of the English edition by articles, unabridged and selected from 16 issues of the Dachauer Hefte between 1985-2000, from 12 scholars of various disciplines engaged in establishing and analysing the history of National Socialist persecution and oppression. It is the intent of the Dachauer Hefte - and this English edition shall also give expression to this - to overcome the boundary between academic scholarship and the recollections of survivors. The goal is to hold a dialogue between survivors and historians in the service of enlightenment.

The texts presented in this edition shall contribute to this endeavour.

Wolfgang Benz, Barbara Distel
There were a number of leaders in the period January-February-March 1934 on German affairs, including fourth leaders which were intended to be humorous. There was one, for instance, on the need to be recognizably English in Germany especially if one was of "non-Aryan appearance," achievable perhaps by carrying *The Times* and/or wearing an English hat. Other fourth leaders dealt with the Nazi salute, the gift of Hitler's *My Fight to* all couples marrying in Germany, the banning of comic postcards in Munich because they were seen as deleterious to the national image, beer in Munich, and the banning of the golliwog because the toy was identified with the wrong music and the wrong skin color. There were also serious leaders about Austrian-German relations, the Reichstag fire trial and the continuing detention of people acquitted in it, about disarmament and unemployment.

Readers of *The Times*, and probably especially those in government circles, could be expected to read the paper daily and to read the news and comment about Germany and Austria, whether contained in short reports, longer articles, leaders, or readers' letters. They were aware of the discourse patterns of their times: that reports, comment, and leaders, and in the case of *The Times* the readers' letters, were to be read as a whole and between the lines too.

In this correspondence from *The Times* archives something of the way decisions were reached, modified, and revoked can be seen. There is no direct word in it from Geoffrey Dawson, who finally recommended against publication. This may be because he communicated directly and not by letter or memorandum or perhaps because letters, etc., were not kept or were subsequently lost. It is possible that this article was published elsewhere in a journalless conscious of its responsibility to the government. It hasn't been possible to find such a publication, and perhaps Simpson, having been paid ten guineas by *The Times*, didn't try to find another publisher for information now many months old.

Jürgen Zarusky

"That is not the American Way of Fighting"

**The Shooting of Captured SS-Men During the Liberation of Dachau**

In the afternoon of April 29, 1945, a Sunday, American soldiers liberated the concentration camp Dachau, where about 32,000 prisoners from all over Europe were incarcerated at that moment. The arrival of the Americans brought freedom to the prisoners, the deliverance from hunger, typhus and the dreaded plans of extermination by the SS. Though the capture of the camp by the Americans was not accomplished without some combat, they had no losses, and it took place rather quickly. However, many of the SS-men still in the camp were killed, part of them after they had surrendered. "These killings are a very sensitive issue - outside of Germany because of the shadow they cast on the reputation of the liberators, and within Germany due to their potential and actual use by apologetic circles to retroactively justify Nazi atrocities and pseudo-exonerate German perpetrators," states Harold Marcuse. The existing accounts of the events are in many respects contradictory and unclear.

**Memoirs, Propaganda, Research**

The shooting of the overpowered SS-guards is mentioned in a whole series of memoirs of prisoners. This subject is only a marginal aspect of the overwhelming events of the liberation in the memoirs of the concentration camp survivors. Yet in the right-radical polemic against the Dachau camp

---

memorial, it occupies a central role. Since there is hardly any historical event which compresses the true character of the Third Reich and the political-moral justification of the allied victory over the national socialist Germany as the liberation of the concentration camps, those who are nationalist and neonazi-motivated pseudohistorians are anxious to distort the events. Depending on disposition and the degree of political extremism, these authors try to effect the impression that war crimes are a general and evenly widespread occurrence of the Second World War in order to relativize the condemnation of National socialism. Or they virtually turn the spear around and proclaim the American soldiers as "V5-Killers" who organized a massacre of several hundred defenseless 55 and members of the Wehrmacht and thus "demonstrated the wretchedness (Erbämlichkeit) of the American crusaders." A the former SS-Untersturmführer Erich Kernmayer, alias Erich Kern, was the first representative of the right-extreme scene who took up this topic. He presented the witness testimony of a Hans Linberger, who survived a shooting action by American soldiers, in several publications. Kern implanted this testimony in a description that meant to play down the conditions in the concentration camps and discredit the prisoners. For this purpose, he used a one-sided and misleading selection of citations, mostly from the books of Edgar Kupfer-Koberwitz and Nerin Gun, which resulted in the image of the prisoners of Dachau as a band of Communists and brutal criminals who terrorized their fellow prisoners and who altogether lived relatively well.7 Once the Neo-nazi packaging of the testimony of Linberger, in which Kern had wrapped it, is removed, one must, however, take it quite seriously as it withstands a critical examination.

The propagandistic and unscientifically motivated use of sources by the right-extremist authors is revealed itself, among other things, in that they regard as a matter of course that all 55-men found in the Dachau camp were shot, though the testimony of Linberger, especially, contradicts this. Thus, Alfred Schiekel, active in the grey zone between conservatism and right-extremism, stated in 1981 that the real significance of the statement of the V5. High Command that about 300 55-men were put out of action at the capture of the camp can be gathered from a photo that he saw in the National Archives in Washington.8 It shows three German soldiers standing with raised arms in front of a wall, amidst a mass of corpses, and in front, two American soldiers loading their guns, evidently about to 'put out of action' the still standing soldiers'. The picture, which, incidentally, had already been published in 1964, actually shows quite unmistakably the scene of an execution, and the official caption that it involves men who feign death after American soldiers fired a volley at the fleeing 55-men, is not very convincing, considering the wall the men stand in front of.9 Schiekel's method, to conclude a total event from a snapshot is, however, more than questionable and clarifies what is taking place here is more a search for confirmation of prejudices than for a factual reconstruction of historical events.

When, in 1986, the former army colonel and army doctor Howard A. Buechner published his book "Dachau, The Hour of the Avenger," it seemed, however, to present adefinite confirmation of the systematic massacre by American soldiers of the guard detachment in the Dachau camp. Buechner represents the double authority as an eye witness and as a member of the liberating forces. Briefly, Buechner told the following story: Deeply shocked when confronted by the cruelties committed by the 55, a burning rage erupted among the soldiers of the I57th infantry regiment who captured the camp. The 1977 deceased Ist lieutenant Jack Bushyhead—a Cherokee Indian—supposedly collected 346 German guards in a yard of the 55-garrison, after having seen the concentration camp and the crematorium, and had them shot by a machine gun. Survivors were reputedly liquidated by prisoners armed with pistols. Altogether, 480 Germans supposedly have been shot by V5. soldiers, 40 presumably were the victims of revenge acts by 134
The disintegration of the Nazi regime resulted both in more brutalization and also in a feverish search by individual leading figures for options to avoid the visible collapse. The attempts by Heinrich Himmler to use the Jews in his power as "bargaining chips" is the most characteristic example. In this context belong also the modest achievements of the International Red Cross. The final months of Dachau were the worst. The camp was extremely overcrowded due to the continuous arrivals of transports evacuating the camps near the front. These transports resulted in a large number of fatalities. Most of the survivors arrived near death from exhaustion, undernourished and physically completely broken down. The hygiene conditions and the food situation were catastrophic. A typhus epidemic broke out in December 1944. Over 15,000 prisoners died due to sickness, undernourishment and by assault of the SS from the end of 1944 to the liberation. This is nearly half of the total fatalities of the Dachau camp. Cremation of the corpses was no longer possible. The bodies were piled up in the mortuaries and around the crematorium. There were over 32,000 prisoners in the camp at the end of April 1945. Hope of imminent liberation and fear of extermination by the SS or an evacuation of the camp caused the most diverse rumors and resulted in an atmosphere of the highest nervous tension. Actually, a mass murder of the prisoners was at least considered. The various evacuation transports, especially the death march put into action on April 26th, precipitated a high number of casualties.

The disintegration of the Nazi regime resulted both in more brutalization and also in a feverish search by individual leading figures for options to avoid the visible collapse. The attempts by Heinrich Himmler to use the Jews in his power as "bargaining chips" is the most characteristic example. In this context belong also the modest achievements of the International Red Cross. The final months of Dachau were the worst. The camp was extremely overcrowded due to the continuous arrivals of transports evacuating the camps near the front. These transports resulted in a large number of fatalities. Most of the survivors arrived near death from exhaustion, undernourished and physically completely broken down. The hygiene conditions and the food situation were catastrophic. A typhus epidemic broke out in December 1944. Over 15,000 prisoners died due to sickness, undernourishment and by assault of the SS from the end of 1944 to the liberation. This is nearly half of the total fatalities of the Dachau camp. Cremation of the corpses was no longer possible. The bodies were piled up in the mortuaries and around the crematorium. There were over 32,000 prisoners in the camp at the end of April 1945. Hope of imminent liberation and fear of extermination by the SS or an evacuation of the camp caused the most diverse rumors and resulted in an atmosphere of the highest nervous tension. Actually, a mass murder of the prisoners was at least considered. The various evacuation transports, especially the death march put into action on April 26th, precipitated a high number of casualties.

The material assembled by Linden is of the most varied origin. Partly, it derives from the estate of his father, and contains, among others, newspaper items, personal testimonies, correspondence and copies from archives. The background of Linden's efforts regarding documentation is an old quarrel between the 42nd and 45th division of the 7th army about which of them should have the credit for the liberation of Dachau. Cf. Henke, Amerikanische Besetzung, pp. 916 u.a. 918 ff.

16 The material assembled by Linden is of the most varied origin. Partly, it derives from the estate of his father, and contains, among others, newspaper items, personal testimonies, correspondence and copies from archives. The background of Linden's efforts regarding documentation is an old quarrel between the 42nd and 45th division of the 7th army about which of them should have the credit for the liberation of Dachau. Cf. Henke, Amerikanische Besetzung, pp. 916 u.a. 918 ff.


and who got the name "Heinrich Skodzensky" from later authors? He is alleged to have been ordered by an American major onto his jeep and taken away after he had tried to deliver an explanation. His corpse is supposed to have been found with a shot in the head in a distance of five hundred meters. But, the Q'Leary biographer Brome, in certain details not quite precise, says that the 55-lieutenant had awaited the arrival of the Americans at or near the camp. Heinrich Wicker's fate is in any case considered as unexplained by the "German Competent Authority" (Dienststelle) responsible for ascertaining the civil status of people until today. His family received the last sign of life from him in January 1945 from the infirmary in Dachau.

It is certain, however, that, shocked by the confrontation with the conditions in the camp, further excesses were committed by American soldiers. "They could not understand that we were in such good mood," reported the former Dutch prisoner eare! Steensma about the Americans. He observed how they came out of the barracks white as a sheet, and how some had to vomit. Steensma also witnessed the shooting of a young 55-man. It is not clear if this incident took place at the guard tower B or if it dealt with another occurrence. Steensma saw how five or six young 55-men came out of the tower. "I talked with one of them, he was 17, inducted only a few weeks ago, he showed me his pay-book. While I talked to him, an American soldier shot him, his blood splattered on me. I was beside myself. I yelled at the American 'How dare you, you fucking son of a bitch'. I thought of the mother of this youth. What had he done? Nothing. 14 days in uniform and sent onto the tower." 14

Buechner mentions in his book a testimony of the military chaplain Leland L. Loy in which he describes how a soldier of the 421st Division, who had completely lost control of himself, shot a German just taken prisoner from closest range. 143

Prisoners also committed acts of revenge on 55-men, Kapos, or prisoners who had collaborated with the 55. The Polish prisoner Walenty L. told Whitaker that after the shootings at the guard tower B, four fleeing 55-men had been stopped and killed by prisoners. 144 According to estimates of the 42nd Division, at least 25, perhaps up to 50 55-men were killed by prisoners during the first 24 hours of the liberation. 145 Individual reprisals took yet place several days after the liberation. Thus a carbine was snatched from a soldier of the L-Company of the 157th Infantry Regiment, who was assigned to guard duty on May 2nd, by prisoners, supposedly Russians. These killed two persons, who were accused of being 55-men, with it. 146 And Marcus J. Smith, a military physician, observed the next day how prisoners hit 55-collaborators for hours in the presence of American soldiers. 147 American newspapers treated acts of revenge by prisoners briefly and altogether sympathetically. 148

Conclusions

"Stories about Dachau are so varied that no one will ever know exactly what happened on the day of liberation," so writes Howard A. Buechner. 149 It would be certainly presumptuous to intend to maintain the opposite. The reconstruction of a historical event can always be only an approximation of the authenticity, but especially when one deals with quickly moving events that take place on a spacious and vast terrain, with a great number of people participating, as was the case here. This, however, is no justification for inaccuracy or arbitrariness. On the contrary: There are different degrees of completeness and precision of personal recollection as well as of the historical representation. Buechner dealt both with his personal recollections and his historical sources carelessly, for whatever motives. In his book, the capture of Daehau camp by the Americans appears only as a great massacre that not a single 55-man survived. This version is untrue. Admittedly, there was the disposition among the GIs not to take prisoners. Lieutenant M. of the 157th Infantry Regiment testified to this among others, who at the same time made it clear: "However, we did take prisoners."

The numbers mentioned in Buechner are inexact; his description regarding the course of events is in large parts distorted and confused. A systematic execution of 55-prisoners in connection with the liberation of the camp, as described by Buechner, did not take place.

140 Henke, Amerikanische Besetzung, p. 927. Kupfer-Koberwitz, however, reports only two acts of lynching of a Capo and a room elder (Stubenältester), and generally states: "Excesses hardly occurred [ ... ]", Kupfer-Koberwitz, Dachauer Tagebücher, p. 448.
143 Buechner, Avenger, p. 75.
144 Whitaker, Interrogation protocol 402. Cf. ibid. 108. It is not clear if this deals with the same incident.
145 Henke, Amerikanische Besetzung, p. 927. Kupfer-Koberwitz, however, reports only two acts of lynching of a Capo and a room elder (Stubenältester), and generally states: "Excesses hardly occurred [ ... ]", Kupfer-Koberwitz, Dachauer Tagebücher, p. 448.
146 Whitaker, Interrogation protocol 135-38, 749-779.
147 Smith, Dachau, pp. 132 H.
149 Buechner, Avenger, p. 95.
150 Whitaker, Interrogation protocol 884; according to Marcuse, Nazi crimes, p. 90, about 160 persons were taken prisoner.
Yet it is a fact that, as a result of the shock among the liberators by theft encounter with the train full of corpses at the entrance of the SS-domain and the conditions in the concentration camp. American soldiers shot SS-men taken prisoner. Four 55-men were shot by the commanding officer of the I-Company of the 57th Infantry Regiment together with a private at the train. In the coal yard. 16 German prisoners were also shot at the initiative of the commanding officer of the company; one was killed by prisoners working in the power station, most likely with the acquiescence of the present Americans. During the seizure of the concentration camp, the entire company of guard tower B, comprising 17 men, was killed in two evidently successive actions, shortly after, they had surrendered. A group of prisoners who had climbed over the camp fence participated in this action in a way that cannot be precisely ascertained. Another 55-man was shot by an GI in the area of the Jourhaus, according to the testimony of the Chaplain Loy. The number of SS-men, confirmed to some extent, who were killed by Americans contrary to international law during the liberation of the concentration camp amounts, therefore, to 39. Possibly the 17-year old recruit, whose killing is reported by Carel Steensma, is to be added. He could have, however, been included among the guard tower B company. The question of whether the shot SS-lieutenant, about whom Pat O’Leary reports, is the Untersturmführer Heinrich Wicker cannot be definitely ascertained. It is possible that additional shootings of captured SS-men took place, however there are no dues to an especially high number of unrecorded cases; thus the total number could hardly have been greater than 50.

Though there were considerations among officers on the spot to cover up the events by removal of the corpses, it evidently did not happen. This is demonstrated by the testimony of Johann Steinbock about the dead at the guard tower B, and from the concurrence of various testimonies, and Whitaker’s findings regarding the coal yard on May 2nd.

It is to be recorded that the killings by US-soldiers involved excesses of individuals of small groups that did not result from orders of superiors. The shootings at the coal yard were stopped by Co!. Sparks. Already on May 2nd, an official inquiry of the events in Dachau was initiated by the Inspector General of the Seventh Army and his deputy, respectively. On June 8th, Whitaker’s report, derived from extensive and thorough investigations, was on hand. The Assistant Inspector General recommended the court martial proceedings for murder against the commanding officer of the company, Lieutenant Bushyhead, the Private P. on account of the shootings at the railroad train and in the coal yard and against Sergeant W. because of the shooting of the guard detail of guard tower B. He recommended proceedings against Lieutenant O., who had ordered the placement of the machine gun in the coal yard, without participation in the subsequent events, and, for dereliction of duty, against military doctor Howard Buechner, who failed to provide medical help for the wounded he discovered there. The superior of the soldier, with whose gun prisoners shot two alleged SS-men, was to be reprimanded for failure to initiate an official inquiry. A comment of the Headquarters of the Seventh Army under General Alexander Patch indicates, however, that higher echelons were striving to play down the charges. Only the conclusions about the shootings directly at the train were approved. The events in the coal yard and at the guard tower B were interpreted as the prevention of an attempted escape of the prisoners or as a combat action, respectively, i.e. the general accepted the interpretations of the principal accused. The head of the investigation was criticized for having conducted a biased inquiry, and that he lacked comprehension of small unit combat action and of the unbalancing effects of the horrors and shock of Dachau on combat troops already fatigued with more than 30 days continuous combat action.

A new investigation was recommended in the statement. It is evidently false, as presented by Buechner, that General Patton, the Military Governor of Bavaria, had personally burned the records of the investigation. The available documents are, however, derived exclusively from departments of the Seventh Army. Buechner possibly mixes up the investigation of the shootings with proceedings that General Linden tried to initiate against Sparks regarding their dash at the camp gate. That Whitaker did not raise any accusations against Sparks supports this assumption. However, it is a fact that no American proceedings regarding the killings in Dachau ever took place.

The shootings of prisoners during the capture of the Dachau camp undoubtedly cast a shadow on the US-Army. These deeds did nothing to contribute to the liberation of the concentration camp. They violated inter-

151 Henke, Amerikanische Besetzung, p. 920 H.
153 Headquarters Seventh Army, Memorandum from June 18, 1945. Investigation material.
154 Buechner, Avenger, p. 119.
155 Cf. Spark’s own description in Buechner, Avenger, p. 67 ff. and Carlo D’Este, A Genius for War. A Life of General George S. Patton, London 1945, p. 741 ff. Here there is only a general reference of proceedings against Sparks and some of his soldiers, that was oppressed by Patton in recognition of Spark’s soldierly qualities. The disputes between Sparks and General Linden are given as background, the shootings are not mentioned.
156 Henke, Amerikanische Besetzung, p. 925, Fn. 762.
national norms and as well American laws. The officers and soldiers who participated in the shootings forgot themselves and acted as judges and avengers. Overwhelmed by the sudden confrontation with a hitherto unimaginable horror, they saw the 55-uniform as proof of guilt.

A special tragedy of the event — and simultaneously a sad lesson about the inappropriateness of self-administered justice — is due to the fact that the camp-55 had left already when the Americans arrived and had been replaced by other units. These also would probably have left, had not the delegate of the Red Cross, Maurer, persuaded the acting camp commandant Wicker to remain.

The reactions of the prisoners, as well as the Americans, to the shootings were quite disparate. Only a minority of both groups allowed their lust for revenge to provoke them to violence. Many observers were shocked and depressed by the events. Lieutenant D., one of the witnesses interrogated by Whitaker, expressed it thus: “You would not have to come here to do that. That is not the American way of fighting.”

157 Contrariwise, the Third Reich issued orders for the systematic killings of prisoners of war when the Soviet Union was attacked. One of the execution sites was the SS-shooting range of the Dachau Garrison in the vicinity of Hebertshausen, where about 4000 Soviet prisoners of war were shot. Cf. also Reinhard Otto: “Vernichten oder Ausnutzen?” Aussonderung und Arbeitseinsatz sowjetischer Kriegsgefangener im Reichsgebiet in den Jahren 1941/42. Diss. phil., Paderborn 1996.

158 Whitaker, Interrogation protocol.